amalgamating them, or by transferring some functions to the State Governments. The Commission has made certain proposals for the merger of Departments with the objective of achieving efficiency and optimal size of the Central Government.

Annual Plans

*180. SHRI THAWAR CHAND GEHLOT : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) the details of the annual plan sanctioned during the years 1994-95, 1995-96 and 1996-97, State-wise;

(b) the position of implementation of the above annual plans, State-wise, year-wise;

(c) the names of States where the sanctioned annual plan has not been implemented as planned; and

(d) the corrective measures being taken in this regard ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) to (d) The Statewise details of Annual Plans Outlays approved during 1994-95 to 1996-97 and the position of implementation as per available information is given in the enclosed statement. The main reason of revised outlay/ expenditure figures being lower than the orginally approved outlay in most of the cases is the inability of the concerned State Governments to mobilise their own resources as projected. It has been emphasised on the States to make realistic projection of resource availbility and to make all out efforts to raise resources as planned. It is also proposed in the Approach Paper to the Ninth Plan that States would be free to decide their plan size keeping in view the level of Central Assistance and there would be no need to take approval of the Planning Commission regarding the plan size. It is hoped that this system will encourage better accountability and commitment of the State Government to achieve the plan size as decided by them.

Statement

Annual Plans – 1994-95 to 1996-97 Originally Approved/Revised Outlay and Actual Expenditure – State-wise

(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	States/UTs	Annual Plan – 1994-95			Annual Plan – 1995-96			Annual Plan (1996-97)
		Originally Approved Outlay	Revised Outlay	Actual Expenditure	Originally Approved Outlay	Revised Outlay	Anticipated Expenditure	Originally Approved Outlay
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2130.00	2170.00	2453.47	3159.00	2510.64	2719.78	2989.00
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	335.00	333.00	353.36	471.00	439.30	433.26	520.11
3.	Assam	1051.00	997.20	1042.83	1418.32	1202.00	1200.15	1436.22
4.	Bihar	2400.00	900.00	916.18	2500.00	972.00	1024.27	2143.91
5.	Goa	182.00	163.38	157.15	210.00	194.49	197.25	250.44
6.	Gujarat	2240.00	2240.83	2240.83 *	2610.00	2610.00	2610.00 **	3392.27
7.	Haryana	1025.00	1019.05	979.36	1250.00	1225.00	1120.06	1433.65
8.	Himachal Pradesh	650.00	666.32	666.75	750.00	835.00	750.00	900.50
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	950.00	868.00	868.00 *	1050.00	1053.25	1023.72	1250.00
10.	Karnataka	3275.00	2800.00	3004.15	3575.00	3100.00	3100.01	4360.00
11.	Kerala	1260.00	1260.60	1260.60 *	1550.00	1563.00	1563.00 **	2207.27
12.	Madhya Pradesh	2750.00	2253.29	2670.09	2900.00	2572.32	2560.23	3144.80

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
13.	Maharashtra	4400.00	4758.00	5123.19	5907.00	6408.85	6708.40	8319.67
14.	Manipur	240.00	214.50	220.68	300.00	290.42	254.44	350.45
15.	Meghalaya	281.00	232.12	179.33	306.52	255.67	231.55	370.40
16.	Mizoram	207.66	202.53	202.15	227.00	234.00	234.00	281.34
17.	Nagaland	220.00	84.39	85.85	240.00	195.00	195.00	290.24
18.	Orissa	1951.00	1464.18	1474.47	1650.00	1520.00	1382.27	2205.50
19.	Punjab	1450.00	1374.46	1419.47	1675.00	1501.83	1501.83	1857.05
20.	Rajasthan	2450.00	2450.00	2450.00 *	3200.00	3200.00	3162.42	3310.49
21.	Sikkim	135.00	135.00	135.86	192.00	180.82	180.82 **	192.03
22.	Tamil Nadu	2750.00	2750.75	2845.70	3200.00	3200.00	3281.00	3719.05
23.	Tripura	310.00	244.57	244.57 *	350.00	298.39	298.39 **	370.39
24.	Uttar Pradesh	4562.00	3639.84	3639.84 *	5477.50	4027.40	4027.40 **	6549.03
25.	West Bengal	1706.00	1483.31	1483.31 *	2065.00	2082.00	2082.00 **	3158.63

* Actual Expenditure figures are not available, Revised Approved Outlay figures have been taken.

** Anticipated Expenditure figures are not available, Revised Approved Outlay figures have been taken.

Note. Actual Expenditure figures for 1995-96 and 1996-97 are not yet available.

Violation of Master Plan

1750. SHRI MANGAL RAM PREMI : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government was collecting information with regard to the New Delhi Municipal Council giving permission to the temporary shops on Janpath, New Delhi, to raise second storeys in violation of the Master Plan of Delhi-2000 and without getting the project cleared from Delhi Urban Arts Commission (DUAC);

(b) if so, whether the information has since been collected;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) the reaction of the Government in the matter ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU) : (a) to (d) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

[Translation]

Non-conventional Energy Sources – Bihar

1751. SHRI R.L.P. VERMA : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether electricity is being generated from Non-conventional Energy Sources in Bihar;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the details of power generated therefrom, source-wise;

(c) if not, whether the Government have explored the possibilities of generating power from Non-conventional Energy Sources in the State; and

(d) project-wise details thereof, source-wise ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES (CAPT. JAI NARAYAN PRASAD NISHAD) : (a) & (b) Nearly 14,000 stand alone solar photovoltaic systems aggregating to about 228 KW and a 10 KW biomass gasifiers have been installed so far in Bihar. These systems have mainly been deployed for lighting, water pumping and other decentralised applications.

(b) and (d) The Ministry provides technical and